

Pricing CMS-Spread Options with QuantLib

Dr. André Miemiec

QuantLib Workshop Düsseldorf, December 5, 2014

Model & QuantLib-Implementation

- Calibration Results
- A Toy Model & Conclusions

This are the current challenges in the Interest Rate Markets



Arbitrage free and consistent modelling of all three edges of IR-market is still a challenging open question.

CMS-Spread Options are always good for a surprise

» Sample Trade (CMS 10Y-2Y), T2E \approx 14yr, K = 0.6%



- » Two Questions:
 - > What is a valid range for the MtM ?
 - > Whose price is the right one ?

e.g. from Market Quotes

Result of a properly *calibrated* model

Where is the market?

» Market Quotes of Single Look CMS-Spread Options (5Y):



Error bounds are reasonably tight. So quality of pricing model should be easily detectable.

- Model & QuantLib-Implementation
- Calibration Results
- A Toy Model & Conclusions

CMS-Spread Option Model: Forward Premium

» Berrahoui's Ansatz:

$$E[q_{10} \cdot S_{10} - q_2 \cdot S_2 - K] = \int_0^\infty \left[F_{10} \left(\frac{\tau}{q_{10}} \right) - C\{F_2 \left(\frac{\tau + K}{q_2} \right), F_{10} \left(\frac{\tau}{q_{10}} \right) \} \right] \cdot d\tau$$

» Marginals $F_2(x)$, $F_{10}(x)$ obtained from Digital CMS-Options via a modified conundrum pricer.

$$F_i(x) = P_i(x \le K) = 1 - \frac{Digital_i(0)}{D(T_P)}$$

- » Choise of Copulas:
- > (asymmetric) **Gaussian**/Gumbel

» Smile-Model:

> SABR

Marginals via CMS-Digital-Replication

$$h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max(x - \tau) \cdot h''(\tau) \cdot d\tau$$

 $V_{digi-caplet} = A(0) \cdot E^{S} [\theta(S_t - K) \cdot G(S_t)]$

$$V_{digi-caplet} = C(K) \cdot G'(K) - C'(K) \cdot G(K) + \int_{K}^{\infty} C(\tau) \cdot G(\tau)'' \cdot d\tau$$

Payer-Swaption (model free)

How do the Marginals look like?

» Example: Marginal distribution of CMS2Y in 5Y:



Here a lot of work has to be done in order to cure inconsistences on the level of input market data.

Model & QuantLib-Implementation

Calibration Results

A Toy Model & Conclusions

How does the implementation perform?

» Market Quotes of Single Look CMS-Spread Options:



QL results are ok. Performance is critical (several secs per coupon). Inacceptable for a productive pricer.

Back on the road to improve stability and performance

» Steps towards increased stability:

> Alter construction of marginals, i.e. retrieve it from marginals in the swap measure:

$$F^{T_P}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{G(\tau)}{G(S_0)} \cdot \rho^A(\tau) \cdot d\tau$$

McLoud has given more symmetrical versions of the original Berrahoui formula. From a numerical perspective it might be advantageous to use this symmetric version.

Using a precomputed table of marginals one can get rid of the performance issue.

Model & QuantLib-Implementation

- Calibration Results
- A Toy Model & Conclusions

» Toy-Modell: lognormal marginals, no swaption smile (cf. Andersen & Piterbarg, p. 778):

$$F^{T_P}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{G(\tau)}{G(S_0)} \cdot \rho^A(\tau) \cdot d\tau$$

ATM-Density

Toy model is different from the standard model, that quotes CMS-Options via a normal volatility.

Toy Model / VBA-Prototyping

» Market Quotes of Single Look CMS-Spread Options:



Toy model performs as good as the real implementation and is even faster. Implied parameters are similar.

Conclusions

» **QL-Implementation:**

- A working implementation for CMS-Spread Options is nearly directly achievable by reusing several code pieces already contained in QuantLib.
- The main task is the generation of the marginal distributions. Empirical evidence indicates that a direct construction of the marginals using the well established conundrum method is not fast enough for productive purposes.
- The prerecording of the marginal distribution before entering the integration is likely to solve this deficiency but was not implemented yet.
- > Some suggestions to improve the stability of the pricer were made.
- > Calibration to Market Quotes works fine.

» Toy-Model:

- In addition the study of a toy model seems to indicate, that a calibrated model can be obtained by plugging ATM marginals from the swap measure more or less directly into the copula method.
- > Again, calibration to Market Quotes works fine. Parameters have slightly changed.

References

- (1) P. S. Hagan, D. Kumar, A.S. Lesniewski, and D.E. Woodward, "Managing Smile Risk", Wilmott Magazine, September 2002.
- (2) P.S. Hagan, D. Kumar, A.S. Lesniewski, and D.E. Woodward, "Arbitrage-Free SABR", Wilmott Magazine, 2014.
- (3) P.S. Hagan, "Convexity Conundrums: Pricing CMS Swaps, Caps, and Floors", Wilmott Magazine, September 2003.
- (4) S. Benaim, M. Dodgson, and D. Kainth, "An arbitrage-free method for smile extrapolation", Royal Bank of Scotland, Technical report, 2008.
- (5) P. McLoud, "The CMS Spread Triangle", RISK, 1:126, 2011.
- (6) M. Berrahoui, "Pricing CMS Spread Options and Digital CMS Spread Options with Smile", Wilmott Magazine, 2004.
- (7) A.K.H. Geisselmeyer, "Consistent Pricing of CMS and CMS Spread Options with SABR-like Distributions and Power-t Copulas", UniCredit & Universities, Working Paper Series, No. 29, May 2012
- (8) L. Andersen, V.Piterbarg, Interest Rate Modelling, Vol. 3

Dr. André Miemiec

+49 89-7908617-492
+49 162-26313-57
Andre.Miemiec@d-fine.de

Dr. Mark W. Beinker

Partner	
Tel	+49 69-90737-305
Mobile	+49 151-14819305
E-Mail	Mark.Beinker@d-fine.de

d-fine GmbH

Frankfurt München London Wien Zürich

Zentrale

d-fine GmbH Opernplatz 2 D-60313 Frankfurt/Main

T. +49 69-90737-0 F: +49 69-90737-200

www.d-fine.com

